

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION

NADINE HAYS,)
)
)
 PLAINTIFF,)
)
 V.)
)
)
 TRANSPORTATION SECURITY)
 ADMINISTRATION, ET AL.,) CV 11-3198-DMG (PJWX)
)
 DEFENDANTS.)
) APRIL 5, 2013
) (11:09 A.M. TO 12:10 P.M.)

HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE PATRICK J. WALSH
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES: SEE NEXT PAGE
COURT REPORTER: RECORDED; COURT SMART
COURTROOM DEPUTY: CELIA ANGLON-REED
TRANSCRIBER: DOROTHY BABYKIN
COURTHOUSE SERVICES
1218 VALEBROOK PLACE
GLENORA, CALIFORNIA 91740
(626) 963-0566

PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING;
TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE.

1 APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED)
2 FOR THE PLAINTIFF NADINE HAYS:

3 NADINE HAYS
4 PRO PER

5 FOR TRANSPORTATION SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, ET AL.:

6 STEPHANIE YONEKURA, ACTING
7 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
8 LEON WEIDMAN
9 CHIEF, CIVIL DIVISION
10 ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
11 BY: THOMAS BUCK
12 ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
13 312 NORTH SPRING STREET
14 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

15 FOR BURBANK AIRPORT POLICE DEPARTMENT, ET AL.:

16 VANDERFORD & RUIZ LLP
17 BY: RODOLFO F. RUIZ
18 SEAN FRANCIS DALEY
19 ATTORNEYS AT LAW
20 221 EAST WALNUT STREET
21 SUITE 106
22 PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91101

23 FOR BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT:

24 BURBANK CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
25 BY: CAROL ANN HUMISTON
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY
275 EAST OLIVE AVENUE
BURBANK, CALIFORNIA 91510

I N D E X

CASE NO. CV 11-3198-DMG (PJWX)

APRIL 5, 2013

PROCEEDINGS: HEARING ON DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS AND PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION

1 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, APRIL 5, 2013; 11:09 A.M.

2 THE CLERK: PLEASE COME TO ORDER.

3 THIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IS NOW IN
4 SESSION. THE HONORABLE PATRICK J. WALSH, UNITED STATES
5 MAGISTRATE JUDGE, PRESIDING.

6 CALLING CASE NUMBER CV 11-3198-DMG(PJW), NADINE
7 HAYS VERSUS TRANSPORTATION SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, ET AL.

8 COUNSEL, PLEASE STATE YOUR APPEARANCES FOR THE
9 RECORD.

10 THE COURT: MS. HAYS, YOU CAN STATE YOUR NAME FOR
11 ME, PLEASE.

12 MS. HAYS: NADINE HAYS, PLAINTIFF.

13 THE COURT: THANKS.

14 HI, MR. BUCK.

15 MR. BUCK: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.

16 YOUR HONOR, TOM BUCK, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S
17 OFFICE ON BEHALF OF TESIA TETTEH AND ALSO ON BEHALF OF THREE
18 FEDERAL ENTITIES -- THE UNITED STATES, THE DEPARTMENT OF
19 HOMELAND SECURITY AND TRANSPORTATION SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.

20 THE COURT: THANK YOU, MR. BUCK.

21 HI.

22 MR. DALEY: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.

23 SEAN DALEY ON BEHALF OF BURBANK-GLENDALE-PASADENA
24 AIRPORT AUTHORITY AND OFFICERS ALBARO, NEAL, AND GREEN.

25 THE COURT: THANK YOU.

1 MR. RUIZ: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.

2 RODOLFO RUIZ ON BEHALF OF THE SAME DEFENDANTS MR.

3 DALEY JUST STATED.

4 THE COURT: MR. RUIZ.

5 MS. HUMISTON: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.

6 CAROL HUMISTON ON BEHALF OF THE BURBANK CITY

7 DEFENDANTS.

8 THE COURT: THANK YOU.

9 WHO ELSE IS HERE WITH US TODAY?

10 HI.

11 MR. BUCK: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE INVITED -- EXCUSE ME.

12 I HAVE INVITED MS. --

13 THE COURT: HAVE A SEAT, GUYS.

14 MR. BUCK: -- MS. TETTEH HAS BEEN --

15 THE COURT: MS. TETTEH, THANKS FOR COMING.

16 MR. BUCK: WHO IS A NAMED DEFENDANT.

17 THE COURT: YOU'RE A CELEBRITY HERE. I'VE BEEN

18 HEARING ABOUT YOU FOR YEARS. NICE TO SEE YOU.

19 AND WHO'S WITH HER?

20 MR. BUCK: AND ALSO WITH -- WITH MS. TETTEH IS

21 MR. DERRICK FORD, AN ATTORNEY WITH TRANSPORTATION SECURITY.

22 THE COURT: THANKS FOR COMING, MR. FORD.

23 ALL RIGHT. SO, I'M WORKING MY WAY THROUGH THESE

24 MYRIAD OF MOTIONS I HAVE AND JUST PLOWING AWAY. AND, THEN,

25 MS. HAYS FILES A MOTION THAT SHE WANTS DISCOVERY. AND, SO, I

1 KIND OF SLOWED DOWN.

2 BUT LET'S -- MAYBE YOU FOLKS HAVEN'T EVEN SEEN IT
3 YET. SHE FILED IT TWO DAYS AGO I THINK. LET ME SEE WHAT'S
4 GOING ON HERE.

5 DAVID, YOU DON'T BY ANY CHANCE HAVE THAT WITH YOU,
6 DO YOU?

7 I PROBABLY HAVE IT HERE.

8 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)

9 THE COURT: OKAY. SORRY. I HAVE MAYBE 30 OR 40
10 PLEADINGS IN HERE, WHICH I HAD IN ORDER ON MY DESK. AND WHEN
11 I PUT THEM IN A STACK HERE I --

12 MS. HAYS: YOUR HONOR?

13 THE COURT: YEP.

14 MS. HAYS: WAS IT THE ONE DATED 3/28 WHERE I WAS
15 ASKING FOR PROPER EXHIBITS FROM CAROL HUMISTON --

16 THE COURT: FROM BURBANK. YES.

17 MS. HAYS: YEAH. SHE HAD SUBMITTED A PLEADING.
18 AND IT -- SOME OF THE DVDS OR CDS IN IT WERE BLANK.

19 (THE COURT CONFERRING WITH CLERK.)

20 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YEAH, IT WAS -- ACTUALLY IT
21 GOT FILED -- MAYBE YOU MAILED IT ON THE 28TH. IT WAS FILED
22 ON APRIL 1ST, "PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR A COURT ORDER FOR THE
23 CITY OF BURBANK TO COMPLY WITH PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR PROPER
24 EXHIBITS," ET CETERA -- AND WHICH I'VE INTERPRETED AS A
25 MOTION UNDER RULE 56(D) FOR ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY PRIOR TO MY

1 SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION.

2 LET ME TELL YOU WHERE WE ARE HERE.

3 THE BARBANK CITY DEFENDANTS HAVE FILED A MOTION FOR
4 SUMMARY JUDGMENT. AND THEY SUPPLIED ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE TO
5 SUPPORT THEIR MOTION, INCLUDING DECLARATIONS FROM THE
6 OFFICERS AND THE OTHER FOLKS THERE.

7 AND UNDER CELOTEX YOU HAD AN OBLIGATION TO SUBMIT
8 ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE TO COUNTER THOSE, AND YOU HAVEN'T. SO,
9 THEY'RE GOING TO BE ENTITLED TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT BECAUSE YOU
10 HAVE NOT GIVEN ME EVIDENCE TO COUNTER THOSE.

11 NOW, WHAT YOU'RE ASKING FOR HERE PRIMARILY ARE
12 THINGS THAT WOULD NOT AFFECT MY RULING. OKAY. YOU'RE
13 ASKING, FOR EXAMPLE, FOR THE VIDEO AND THINGS LIKE THAT.

14 WE HAVE THE VIDEO. IN FACT, MR. BUCK HAS SUBMITTED
15 IT IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO DISMISS.

16 I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU A CHANCE.

17 I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU THE VIDEO NOW. AND YOU TELL
18 ME WHETHER YOU HAVE A COPY OF IT. AND IF NOT, HOW WOULD IT
19 CHANGE WHAT THE -- FOR EXAMPLE, SOME OF THE DEFENDANTS WERE
20 LIKE CITY ATTORNEYS. THEY'RE NOT ON THE VIDEO. THEY DON'T
21 HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE VIDEO.

22 WHAT DID YOU WANT TO SAY, MS. HAYS?

23 MS. HAYS: THAT VIDEO HAS BEEN ALTERED.

24 THE COURT: OKAY.

25 WHO HAS THE VIDEO?

1 MR. BUCK: (INAUDIBLE.)

2 THE COURT: MR. BUCK, WILL YOU PLAY THE VIDEO. CAN
3 YOU DO THAT.

4 MS. HAYS: NOBODY HAS THE ORIGINAL VIDEO, YOUR
5 HONOR.

6 THE COURT: THEN -- THEN, WE'RE NOT -- I'M NOT GOD.
7 I CAN'T RECREATE THE ORIGINAL VIDEO. WE'RE GOING TO GO WITH
8 WHAT WE HAVE. AND WE HAVE A VIDEO.

9 MS. HAYS: OKAY. I CAN TESTIFY THAT THE TUG OF WAR
10 DID OCCUR. BUT THE TUG OF WAR HAPPENED ABOUT 25 FEET AWAY
11 FROM THE SCREENING AREA. AND I DO BELIEVE I WILL BE ABLE TO
12 FIND A WITNESS THAT CAN TESTIFY TO THAT FACT.

13 THE COURT: THE TIME FOR DOING THAT WAS WHEN YOU
14 OPPOSED THE CITY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT.

15 MS. HAYS: WELL, I'VE ALREADY GIVEN MY OWN
16 DECLARATION, YOUR HONOR. AND THAT'S THE ONLY THING THAT I AT
17 THIS TIME CAN PRESENT BECAUSE I HAVEN'T BEEN ALLOWED TO GO
18 INTO DISCOVERY.

19 THE COURT: WHERE'S YOUR DECLARATION?

20 MS. HAYS: OH, THAT WAS -- DO YOU NEED A NEW
21 DECLARATION FOR THE NEW COMPLAINT?

22 THE COURT: I DID. YES, I DID. WHEN YOU OPPOSE
23 THEIR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION YOU FILE A DECLARATION IN
24 OPPOSITION, WHICH YOU DIDN'T DO. NO, MA'AM. I DO NOT GO AND
25 LOOK THROUGH THE 250 PLEADINGS THAT HAVE BEEN FILED IN THIS

1 CASE TO FIND OUT IF YOU'VE HAD A DECLARATION FILED.

2 WHAT I DO IS I LOOK AT HER MOTION. I LOOK AT YOUR
3 OPPOSITION. AND I LOOK AT HER REPLY. AND RIGHT NOW THERE'S
4 NOTHING IN THERE UNDER OATH FROM YOU, NO EVIDENCE THAT'S
5 ADMISSIBLE IN THERE FROM YOU, TO COUNTER THEIR EVIDENCE.
6 OKAY.

7 MS. HAYS: CAN I MAKE A MOTION FOR A CONTINUANCE OF
8 THIS?

9 THE COURT: YOU KNOW --

10 MS. HAYS: SO THAT I CAN -- BECAUSE AS A PRO SE
11 LITIGANT SUFFERING FROM PTSD --

12 THE COURT: BUT WE GAVE YOU A ROWLAND NOTICE,
13 DIDN'T WE?

14 DAVID, GO TAKE -- GO TAKE A LOOK.

15 MS. HUMISTON, DID YOU PROVIDE A ROWLAND NOTICE? DO
16 YOU KNOW WHAT THAT IS? I KNOW YOU DON'T DO THIS A LOT.

17 MS. HUMISTON: NO, I DON'T -- YOUR HONOR. I DON'T
18 KNOW WHAT IT IS.

19 THE COURT: WELL, WE'RE GOING TO SEE IF WE FILED
20 ONE. A ROWLAND NOTICE IS WHEN THERE'S A PRO SE WE SEND THEM
21 A MINUTE ORDER THAT SAYS, THERE'S BEEN A MOTION FOR SUMMARY
22 JUDGMENT FILED AGAINST YOU. YOU HAVE TO SUPPLY ADMISSIBLE
23 EVIDENCE TO COUNTER IT, OR YOU'RE GOING TO GET KICKED OUT OF
24 COURT.

25 I'M GOING TO SEE IF WE FILED ONE. THAT'S USUALLY

1 OUR PRACTICE.

2 BUT YOU CAN HAVE A SEAT. IT'S OKAY.

3 MS. HAYS: THANK YOU.

4 THE COURT: WHILE WE'RE WAITING FOR MY LAW CLERK TO
5 FIND THAT, MR. BUCK, WHENEVER YOU'RE READY -- AND IF YOU NEED
6 MORE TIME, YOU TAKE MORE TIME.

7 MR. BUCK: YOUR HONOR, FORGIVE ME. I THOUGHT I WAS
8 READY, AND IT SEEMS I DIDN'T -- I DIDN'T HAVE POWER, WHICH I
9 THOUGHT I DID. SO, I NOW HAVE POWER AND --

10 THE COURT: YOU CAN GO AHEAD WHENEVER YOU'RE READY.
11 YOU HAVE THE FLOOR. I WANT YOU TO SHOW US THIS VIDEO.

12 AND, MS. HAYS, YOU'RE GOING TO TAKE A LOOK AT IT.

13 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)

14 MR. BUCK: HERE WE GO.

15 THE COURT: OKAY. HERE'S THE VIDEO.

16 MS. HAYS, YOU TELL ME --

17 OH, TOM, IT STOPPED.

18 YOU TELL ME WHEN WE GET TO THE PART WHERE YOU
19 BELIEVE IT'S BEEN ALTERED, PLEASE.

20 MS. HAYS: WELL, LET ME POINT OUT THE FACT THAT I'M
21 OBVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN MY PERSONAL ACTIVITY. SO, WHAT'S
22 ACTUALLY HAPPENING BEHIND ME, I'M -- I CAN'T TESTIFY IT'S
23 REAL OR IT'S NOT REAL. BUT FROM --

24 THE COURT: IT'S ALSO NOT RELEVANT. WHAT'S REALLY
25 RELEVANT IS WHAT'S HAPPENING WITH YOU.

1 MS. HAYS: OKAY.

2 THE COURT: AND YOU WERE THERE. AND YOU TELL US
3 WHICH PART --

4 MS. HAYS: OKAY.

5 THE COURT: -- THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT HAS
6 ALTERED IN AN EFFORT TO PREVAIL IN THIS ACTION.

7 MS. HAYS: OKAY.

8 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

9 MS. HAYS: I WILL BE HAPPY TO.

10 (VIDEO PLAYING.)

11 MS. HAYS: SO, THIS IS ME COMING THROUGH -- NOW,
12 LET ME ALSO POINT OUT THE FACT THAT IT IS TSA PROTOCOL THAT
13 THE ELDERLY DO NOT NEED TO REMOVE --

14 THE COURT: I WANT TO STOP YOU. I'M ASKING YOU, AT
15 THIS POINT YOU'RE GOING TO TELL US WHERE THE FEDERAL
16 GOVERNMENT HAS ALTERED THIS VIDEO --

17 MS. HAYS: OH, OKAY.

18 THE COURT: -- IN ORDER TO PREVAIL IN THIS ACTION.
19 ALL RIGHT.

20 MS. HAYS: OKAY.

21 (VIDEO PLAYING.)

22 THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE A COPY OF THIS VIDEO?

23 MS. HAYS: I DO.

24 (VIDEO PLAYING.)

25 MS. HAYS: ONE THING THAT WAS INTERESTING ABOUT

1 THIS IS THAT THIS VIDEO ACTUALLY HAD THE CAPABILITY OF BEING
2 WATER MARKED, WHICH WOULD HAVE -- I'M NOT GOING TO SAY
3 PREVENTED BUT MINIMIZED THE PROBABILITY OF IT BEING TAMPERED
4 WITH. AND, YET, THE WATER MARK FEATURE WAS NOT USED.

5 THE COURT: WE HAVE NOT REACHED ANY PART YET THAT'S
6 BEEN ALTERED IN YOUR VIEW; AM I RIGHT?

7 MS. HAYS: I BELIEVE ALL OF THIS IS ACCURATE.

8 THE COURT: OKAY.

9 (VIDEO PLAYING.)

10 MS. HAYS: IT'S WHEN THE SCREENER --

11 THE COURT: YOU'RE NOT ON THE SCREEN ANYMORE.

12 MS. HAYS: NO, I'M OVER -- TRYING TO CALM MY MOTHER
13 DOWN.

14 (VIDEO PLAYING.)

15 MS. HAYS: NOW, I HAD CHECKED WITH TSA BEFORE GOING
16 ON THIS TRIP, AND THEY SAID I NEEDED TO --

17 THE COURT: I'M REALLY JUST TRYING TO ESTABLISH --

18 MS. HAYS: OKAY.

19 THE COURT: -- YOUR BASIS FOR SAYING THERE'S FRAUD
20 BEEN COMMITTED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND WHETHER THAT'S
21 ENOUGH TO DEFEAT A MOTION AT THIS POINT.

22 (VIDEO PLAYING.)

23 MS. HAYS: IT'S INTERESTING TO NOTE AT THIS POINT
24 THAT YOU CAN'T SEE THE FACE OF WHO I'M DOING ALL OF THIS
25 WITH.

1 IT'S ALSO INTERESTING TO NOTE THAT THERE'S ONLY ONE
2 CAMERA ANGLE THAT'S BEEN PROVIDED. THERE ARE ABOUT 12
3 DOUBLES IN THE CEILING.

4 (VIDEO PLAYING.)

5 MS. HAYS: THAT GENTLEMAN I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO.
6 RIGHT IN HERE FOR ABOUT A MINUTE. SO, WE'RE AT 59.
7 SO, ABOUT FROM 59 TO ONE O'CLOCK IS THE PART THAT I BELIEVE
8 HAS BEEN EDITED AND BECAUSE --

9 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THIS DIDN'T HAPPEN IS WHAT
10 YOU'RE TELLING ME.

11 MS. HAYS: THIS PART DID NOT HAPPEN THIS WAY. NOW,
12 WHETHER SOME -- THIS CONVERSATION WITH THIS GENTLEMAN I NEVER
13 DID.

14 THE COURT: THIS DIDN'T OCCUR?

15 MS. HAYS: NO. WHAT HAPPENED WAS I LEFT. THE
16 AGENTS CLOSED UP THE COOLER. AND THEY STARTED WALKING AWAY
17 TO THE LEFT WITH IT.

18 THE COURT: DID YOU JUST STRIKE THE TSA AGENT?

19 MS. HAYS: NO, I DIDN'T. THIS IS -- THIS IS --

20 THE COURT: THAT WAS -- THAT WAS CREATED BY THE
21 GOVERNMENT?

22 MS. HAYS: YES.

23 THE COURT: YOU SAW THAT IN THE VIDEO YOU WERE
24 STRIKING HER. BUT WHAT YOU'RE ARGUING TO ME IS -- WHAT
25 YOU'RE CLAIMING --

1 MS. HAYS: I NEVER STRUCK --

2 THE COURT: THEY JUST --

3 MS. HAYS: -- ANYBODY.

4 THE COURT: -- COMPUTER GENERATED THAT LIKE THEY DO
5 IN HOLLYWOOD FOR A MOVIE. THEY JUST MADE THAT --

6 MS. HAYS: YOU'VE GOT IT, YOUR HONOR.

7 (VIDEO PLAYING.)

8 MS. HAYS: AND THIS PART IS PROBABLY BACK TO --
9 WE'RE ABOUT AT THE MINUTE. AND WHAT I DID WAS I --

10 THE COURT: BUT THIS DIDN'T HAPPEN?

11 MS. HAYS: NO. NOW WE'RE BACK TO REALITY. I THINK
12 THAT THIS IS THAT. BECAUSE I DID DUMP THE CONTENTS INTO THE
13 TRASH. I'M NOT SO SURE WHETHER THAT'S WHERE THE TRASH CAN
14 WAS. I WAS THINKING THAT THE TRASH CAN WAS ACTUALLY ON THE
15 OTHER SIDE OF THE SCREENING AREA I DUMPED IT. AND THEN I
16 CAME BACK TO THE SCREENING AREA AND GOT MY STUFF. SO, THIS
17 PART COULD EVEN BE --

18 (VIDEO PLAYING.)

19 MS. HAYS: NOW I'M LEAVING. AND THAT DID HAPPEN.

20 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

21 AND, MR. BUCK, IS THAT THE WHOLE THING?

22 MR. BUCK: THAT'S ALL THAT HAS MS. HAYS IN IT.

23 THE COURT: OKAY.

24 MR. BUCK: YOU SEE THE PEOPLE COMING BACK IN TO THE
25 CHECKPOINT AREA.

1 THE COURT: OKAY. YOU CAN STOP IT.

2 MS. HAYS: NOW, YOU MIGHT WANT TO ALSO KEEP GOING
3 BECAUSE IT'S KIND OF RELATED TO IT.

4 MR. BUCK: OKAY.

5 MS. HAYS: IS THAT ACCORDING TO THE REPORT, THE
6 POLICE REPORT, KAKUMU, WHO IS THE DETAINING OFFICER -- THERE
7 WERE THREE OFFICERS FROM THE AIRPORT. KAKUMU IN THE REPORT
8 ALLEGEDLY -- I CAN'T REMEMBER THE EXACT WORDS, BUT IT WAS
9 LIKE SEPARATED THE INDIVIDUALS -- ME FROM THE PERSON I
10 ALLEGEDLY HIT. IT WAS THE SCREENER THAT I WAS DOING THE TUG
11 OF WAR WITH. AND NOBODY SEPARATED US. WE SEPARATED
12 NATURALLY WHEN I WON POSSESSION.

13 (VIDEO PLAYING.)

14 MS. HAYS: NOW, AS YOU CAN SEE, I'VE ALREADY GONE.
15 THIS IS KAKUMU COMING THROUGH RIGHT NOW. SO, HE DID NOT
16 SEPARATE US. HE DID NOT PREVENT ME FROM HITTING ANYBODY ANY
17 FURTHER.

18 AND IF YOU'LL NOTICE, SHE'S LAUGHING. NOW THAT
19 PERSON THAT WAS LAUGHING I BELIEVE TO BE THIS WOMAN OVER HERE
20 WHO'S SAYING SHE'S TETTEH.

21 THE COURT: YOU DON'T NEED TO POINT AT HER. I KNOW
22 WHO YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT.

23 MS. HAYS: OKAY.

24 THE COURT: THIS IS NOT AT THE TIME TO CONFRONT
25 HER. OKAY.

1 MS. HAYS: I'M SORRY.

2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

3 SO, YOU THINK THAT WOMAN WAS TETTEH?

4 MS. HAYS: NO. THAT WOMAN -- THIS WOMAN THAT IS IN
5 THIS ROOM IS THE HEAVY SET SUPERVISOR WHO HAS LOST WEIGHT.

6 THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT.

7 MS. HAYS: TETTEH IS NOT HERE; NOR IS AIKENS.

8 THE COURT: SO, WHEN YOU'RE -- SO, WHAT THEY DID
9 WAS THEY PUT ANOTHER PERSON IN UNIFORM AND BROUGHT HER DOWN
10 HERE. AND SHE REPRESENTED TO THE COURT --

11 MS. HAYS: NO, THIS ONE LOOKS SIMILAR.

12 THE COURT: SHE JUST TOLD ME HER NAME WAS TETTEH.
13 IS IT --

14 MS. HAYS: BUT I -- I WILL CHALLENGE THAT, YOUR
15 HONOR.

16 THE COURT: OKAY. YOU BELIEVE SHE'S BEING
17 DECEPTIVE?

18 MS. HAYS: YES, I DO.

19 THE COURT: IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE YOU WANT ME TO
20 VIEW ON THE VIDEO BEFORE --

21 MS. HAYS: I KNOW IT SOUNDS OUTRAGEOUS, YOUR HONOR.
22 BUT I KNOW THAT WE'VE GOT SOPHISTICATION THESE DAYS WITH
23 FACIAL RECOGNITION --

24 THE COURT: I'M NOT SAYING THAT THE GOVERNMENT AND
25 HOLLYWOOD DOESN'T HAVE THE CAPABILITY OF ALTERING A VIDEO.

1 MS. HAYS: THANK YOU.

2 THE COURT: OKAY. I'M NOT SAYING THAT CAN'T
3 HAPPEN. I'M NOT -- I HAVE SOME PROBLEMS WITH ACCEPTING YOUR
4 VERSION.

5 AND I WANT TO TELL YOU SOMETHING ELSE. FROM WHAT I
6 UNDERSTAND YOU RECEIVED THIS IN THE CONTEXT OF YOUR CRIMINAL
7 CASE.

8 MS. HAYS: YOU GOT TO REMEMBER THAT FOR THE FIRST
9 YEAR I WAS HEAVILY MEDICATED.

10 THE COURT: BUT I WANT TO TELL YOU THE POINT I'M
11 TRYING TO MAKE. YOU HADN'T SUED THEM. SO, THEY WERE
12 ALTERING THIS TAPE IN ANTICIPATION OF YOU LOSING YOUR
13 CRIMINAL CASE AND FILING A FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS ACTION.

14 MS. HAYS: NO, YOUR HONOR.

15 THE COURT: WHY NOT?

16 MS. HAYS: NO. THE ALTERATION -- OKAY. THIS IS A
17 DIGITAL FILE. IT CAN BE COPIED AND PASTED IN FIVE MINUTES.
18 MY FIRST CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY ASKED FOR THE VIDEO LIKE A
19 COUPLE OF WEEKS AFTER THE INCIDENT.

20 THE COURT: AND HE DIDN'T GET IT.

21 MS. HAYS: HE DIDN'T GET IT FOR A COUPLE OF MONTHS.

22 THE COURT: AND LATER WHEN YOU HAD ANOTHER LAWYER
23 AND YOU AND YOUR NEPHEW, I THINK, WENT DOWN TO THE STATION
24 AND YOU GOT A COPY OF IT.

25 MS. HAYS: I DIDN'T GO DOWN. JUST MY NEPHEW.

1 THE COURT: OKAY.

2 MS. HAYS: NOT MY NEPHEW, MY SON-IN-LAW.

3 THE COURT: I'M SORRY, YOUR SON-IN-LAW. HE WENT
4 DOWN AND GOT A COPY. HE GOT THIS COPY.

5 MS. HAYS: HE GOT THIS COPY.

6 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO, LET'S JUST FOCUS ON THE
7 MATERIAL FACTS WE'RE TRYING TO DISCUSS RIGHT NOW. AT THAT
8 TIME YOU WERE BEING PROSECUTED IN THE STATE COURT, CORRECT?

9 MS. HAYS: CORRECT.

10 THE COURT: YOU HAD NOT SUED THE FEDERAL
11 GOVERNMENT, CORRECT?

12 MS. HAYS: CORRECT.

13 THE COURT: SO, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAD TO ALTER
14 THIS TAPE FOR YOUR STATE COURT PROCEEDINGS.

15 MS. HAYS: THEY DID.

16 THE COURT: OKAY. I GUESS ONE MOTIVE THEY WOULD
17 HAVE HAD WAS THEY KNEW YOU WERE GOING TO WIN YOUR STATE COURT
18 CASE AND SUE THEM.

19 MS. HAYS: NO, THEY KNEW THAT IF I WERE FOUND NOT
20 GUILTY IN MY STATE SUIT CASE, THAT THEY WOULD HAVE A CIVIL
21 LAWSUIT FOR ALL OF THEIR VIOLATIONS.

22 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND THEY WERE ANTICIPATING
23 THAT WHEN THEY ALTERED THE TAPE.

24 MS. HAYS: ABSOLUTELY.

25 THE COURT: OKAY. HANG ON ONE SECOND.

1 (THE COURT CONFERRING WITH CLERK.)

2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IF THERE'S ANYTHING ELSE
3 THAT YOU WANT ME TO SEE ON THIS, I'LL TAKE A LOOK AT IT. BUT
4 WHAT YOU WANT IS THE TAPE THAT'S BEEN UNALTERED, CORRECT? --
5 THE ONE BEFORE THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ALTERED THE TAPE.

6 ALL RIGHT. I HAVE A SENSE THAT THEY'RE GOING TO
7 TELL ME THAT THERE'S NO SUCH TAPE. AND I CAN'T HAVE THEM
8 CREATE SOMETHING THAT DOESN'T EXIST. ALL RIGHT.

9 BUT ANYWAY -- SO, EVEN IF YOUR THEORY WAS RIGHT
10 THAT THEY HAD ANOTHER TAPE, THEY ALTERED IT AND THEY GAVE YOU
11 THIS TAPE, THEY DON'T -- THEY'RE TELLING ME THEY DON'T HAVE
12 THAT OTHER TAPE. THIS IS ALL THEY HAVE.

13 MS. HAYS: OKAY. WOULD IT NOT BE A PROPER PROTOCOL
14 THAT WHEN THERE IS AN ARREST, THAT ALL EVIDENCE BE PRESERVED?

15 THE COURT: YOU KNOW, IS THIS LIKE POLICE PRACTICES
16 101. ARE YOU ASKING ME WHAT I THINK THE WORLD SHOULD BE? IT
17 SHOULD BE DIFFERENT THAN THE WORLD WE LIVE IN.

18 LET'S START WITH THE THINGS I CAN GET RID OF. THE
19 JUDGES ARE ENTITLED TO ABSOLUTELY IMMUNITY. THEY'RE
20 DISMISSED FROM THE LAWSUIT. YOU MAY NOT SUE THE JUDGES.

21 IF YOU WANT TO SUE THE JUDGES YOU HAVE TO GO UP TO
22 THE NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS OR THE U.S. SUPREME COURT
23 AND HAVE THEM OVERTURN ABOUT 150 YEARS OF PRECEDENT.

24 BUT WHAT'S CONTROLLING ON ME IS JUDGES ARE
25 ABSOLUTELY IMMUNE FROM SUIT FOR ACTIONS TAKEN IN THEIR ROLES

1 AS A JUDGE.

2 MS. HAYS: EVEN WHEN THEY'RE PROTECTING CRIMINALS.

3 THE COURT: EXACTLY. PRECISELY FOR THAT REASON.

4 MS. HAYS: I CITED CASE LAW THAT SAID THAT WASN'T
5 TRUE.

6 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. AND I
7 DISAGREE WITH YOU. AND MY ADVICE TO YOU IS YOU TAKE IT UP TO
8 THE NINTH CIRCUIT. OKAY.

9 MS. HAYS: OKAY.

10 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. OR IF THE NINTH CIRCUIT
11 DISAGREES --

12 MS. HAYS: WELL, THE JUDGES AREN'T MY PRIMARY
13 CONCERN. I MEAN, I'M NOT IN --

14 THE COURT: SO, LET'S GET RID OF THEM.

15 MS. HAYS: OKAY. FINE.

16 THE COURT: WHY ARE WE SPENDING --

17 MS. HAYS: JUDGES DISMISSED.

18 THE COURT: THEY HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THIS. I
19 MEAN, FOR GOODNESS SAKES.

20 THE SAME WITH THE OFFICERS WHO ARE DOING THE
21 INTERNAL AFFAIRS INVESTIGATION AND THINGS LIKE THAT. THEY
22 DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH YOUR VIOLATIONS. AND EVEN
23 THOUGH PEOPLE YOU CLAIM RATIFIED WHAT THEY -- IT WAS ALREADY
24 OVER. OKAY.

25 FOR YOU TO HAVE A CONSTITUTIONAL CLAIM AGAINST

1 SOMEBODY, YOU HAVE TO SUE THE PEOPLE WHO WERE INVOLVED IN IT
2 OR WHO WERE STANDING THERE AND COULD HAVE INTERCEDED ON YOUR
3 BEHALF, AND THEY DIDN'T. THOSE ARE THE PEOPLE YOU CAN SUE.

4 BUT WE'RE GOING -- I'M GOING TO TRY AND GET A
5 LITTLE MORE ORGANIZED AND GO THROUGH THIS ONE AT A TIME.

6 ALL RIGHT. THE JUDGES, I'M TELLING YOU NOW, I WAS
7 SCREENING IT. I TOLD YOU YOU HAD TO PROVIDE ME AUTHORITY FOR
8 THE PROPOSITION THAT JUDGES CAN BE SUED, AND THEY'RE NOT
9 ENTITLED TO ABSOLUTE IMMUNITY. I LOOKED AT THE CASES YOU
10 PROVIDED. I DISAGREE WITH YOU. THE JUDGES ARE OUT. OKAY.

11 AND THIS IS THE WAY IT'S GOING TO GO. THERE ARE
12 TWO JUDGES HERE. JUDGE GEE, WHO I DON'T THINK YOU'VE SEEN
13 YET, AND ME. I'M GOING TO RECOMMEND TO JUDGE GEE THAT SHE
14 DISMISS THE JUDGES WITH PREJUDICE, AND THAT THEY'RE OUT.
15 OKAY.

16 THE EXCESSIVE FORCE YOU'RE ALLEGING AGAINST THE
17 CITY ATTORNEYS, I'M NOT QUITE SURE I UNDERSTAND THAT. I KNOW
18 THAT YOU SAID THAT THEY THREATENED YOU WITH IMPRISONMENT IF
19 FOUND GUILTY. THAT ACTUALLY IS NOT A VIOLATION OF THE
20 CONSTITUTION. THAT ACTUALLY IS THEIR DUTY. WHEN THEY
21 PROSECUTE SOMEBODY WHO ASSAULTS SOMEBODY, AND THEY'RE
22 CONVICTED, THEY SEND THEM TO PRISON. ALL RIGHT. SO, THAT
23 CAN'T BE A CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION.

24 BUT ARE YOU SAYING THEY PUT THEIR HANDS ON YOU OR
25 ACCOSTED YOU AT SOME TIME IN THE HALLWAY?

1 MS. HAYS: WELL, EXCESSIVE FORCE -- AS FAR AS THE
2 PROSECUTION IS CONCERNED IS OFFERING ME A PLEA BARGAIN WHEN
3 THEY KNOW THAT I AM INNOCENT.

4 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I'M GOING TO KICK OUT THE
5 CITY ATTORNEYS TOO. THEY'RE GOING TO BE DISMISSED WITH
6 PREJUDICE. OKAY. YOU CAN'T SUE THE CITY ATTORNEYS FOR THEIR
7 ROLE AS CITY ATTORNEYS.

8 YOU HAVE JUST WATCHED A VIDEO THAT WAS PRESENTED TO
9 THE CITY ATTORNEYS IN WHICH THEIR -- IF THAT VIDEO WERE TRUE,
10 AND YOU REALLY HIT THE AGENT, THAT'S ASSAULT. YOU CAN'T HIT
11 SOMEBODY EVEN WHEN THEY TAKE YOUR COOLER. OKAY.

12 ANYWAY, WE'RE -- SO, THEY SAW THAT VIDEO. THEY
13 READ THE ARREST REPORTS. THEY THOUGHT YOU WERE WRONG, AND
14 THEY PROSECUTED YOU. AND DURING THAT PROCESS THEY THREATENED
15 THAT IF YOU WERE FOUND GUILTY, YOU WERE GOING TO GO TO JAIL.

16 THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION DOES NOT PROHIBIT ANY OF
17 THAT CONDUCT.

18 MS. HAYS: BUT THEY'RE DEFENDING A FELON.

19 THE COURT: OKAY. I UNDERSTAND THAT'S YOUR
20 POSITION THEY'RE DEFENDING A FELON. THEY THINK THEY WERE
21 PROSECUTING A FELON. YOU. AND THEY ARE ENTITLED TO
22 IMMUNITY. I'M GOING TO LET THE CITY -- THE PROSECUTORS GO.

23 MS. HAYS: WILL THAT DECISION CHANGE IF I AM
24 ALLOWED DISCOVERY AND I FIND SOMETHING TO THE CONTRARY? ARE
25 THESE STATEMENTS THAT YOU'RE MAKING ABSOLUTE, OR ARE THEY

1 SUBJECT TO CHANGE IF WHAT I AM CLAIMING CAN BE SUBSTANTIATED?

2 THE COURT: THEY'RE GOING TO BE DISMISSED WITH
3 PREJUDICE AT THIS STAGE. IF AT A LATER POINT YOU WANTED TO
4 ASK JUDGE GEE OR ME TO RECONSIDER THAT, WE WOULD DO THAT.
5 BUT I'M NOT GOING TO ALLOW YOU TO HAVE DISCOVERY ON THE
6 JUDGES OR THE PROSECUTORS. SO, IF YOU -- YOU KNOW --

7 MS. HAYS: NO, I'M SAYING IF OTHER INFORMATION --

8 THE COURT: RIGHT.

9 MS. HAYS: -- BECOMES AVAILABLE --

10 THE COURT: THEN YOU CAN COME BACK AND SEE US, AND
11 WE'LL SEE --

12 MS. HAYS: AND THERE'S NOT A TIME LIMIT LIKE 30
13 DAYS?

14 THE COURT: NO, THERE'S NOT A TIME LIMIT.

15 MS. HAYS: FOR RECONSIDERATION.

16 THE COURT: NO, THERE'S NOT -- THERE'S NOT A TIME
17 LIMIT BECAUSE WHEN I DISMISS THE JUDGES AND THE PROSECUTORS
18 WITH PREJUDICE, I'M NOT ISSUING A JUDGMENT IN THEIR FAVOR.
19 I'M JUST ENTERING AN ORDER. SO, THE JUDGMENT WON'T BE FINAL
20 UNTIL EVERYBODY IS OUT OF THIS CASE, AND THAT'S NOT GOING TO
21 OCCUR FOR SOME TIME.

22 ALL RIGHT. LET'S GO WITH THE NEXT, WHICH -- THE
23 ONES ARE A LITTLE BIT EASIER. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS
24 MOVED TO DISMISS THE FEDERAL ENTITIES -- THE UNITED STATES,
25 THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, AND TSA. THEY ARE

1 SUBJECT TO SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY. BIVENS DID NOT WAIVE
2 SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY. IN FACT, BIVENS WAS NOT EVEN THE
3 GOVERNMENT WAIVING ANYTHING. IT WAS THE U.S. SUPREME COURT
4 DETERMINING THAT OFFICERS COULD BE SUED FOR THEIR ROLES IN
5 THEIR -- WHEN THEY'RE ACTING IN THE COURSE AND SCOPE OF THEIR
6 EMPLOYMENT. ALL RIGHT.

7 I AM GOING TO --

8 MS. HAYS: YOUR HONOR.

9 THE COURT: YEP?

10 MS. HAYS: ON THAT -- AND I HAVE STATED THIS IN MY
11 PLEADINGS -- THAT I BASICALLY HAVE LAID EVERYTHING OUT ON THE
12 TABLE -- ON MY STRATEGY AND EVERYTHING ELSE. AND I WASN'T
13 GOING TO USE BIVENS. I DON'T LIKE BIVENS ANYWAY BECAUSE HE
14 REALLY WAS GUILTY. HE REALLY WAS A DRUG DEALER, YOU KNOW.
15 SO, I JUST -- FOR PRINCIPLE EVEN I DON'T WANT TO USE BIVENS.
16 BUT I AM CLAIMING CIVIL CONSPIRACY IN THIS CASE. OKAY.

17 THE COURT: YEP.

18 MS. HAYS: WHEN I DO THAT, I CAN SAY THAT THE
19 FEDERAL AGENTS CONSPIRED --

20 THE COURT: YOU CAN. I'M NOT SAYING THE FEDERAL
21 AGENTS ARE OUT.

22 MS. HAYS: -- WITH STATE AGENTS. AND I CITED CASE
23 LAW WHERE THE FEDERAL AGENTS THEN CAN BE HELD RESPONSIBLE.

24 THE COURT: UNDER 1983?

25 MS. HAYS: YES.

1 THE COURT: YOU KNOW, WE'RE GOING TO TAKE -- I'LL
2 TAKE A CLOSER LOOK AT THAT. MY INITIAL INCLINATION IS TO SAY
3 NO. BUT I'M TALKING ABOUT THE AGENCIES RIGHT NOW.

4 MS. HAYS: OKAY.

5 THE COURT: THE AGENCIES, THE BODIES OF THE
6 GOVERNMENT THEY ARE NOT SUBJECT TO SUIT. I'M DISMISSING
7 THEM. OKAY.

8 MS. HAYS: BUT, YOUR HONOR, I ALSO CITED CASE LAW
9 WHERE IF YOU'RE GOING THE DIRECTION OF 1983 FOR THE AGENTS,
10 AND JUST AS WITH A MONELL CLAIM, THE AGENCY, IF WITH PROPER
11 DISCOVERY YOU SHOW THAT INDIVIDUALS WITHIN THE AGENCY
12 RATIFIED THE ACTIONS OF THEIR AGENTS, THEN, THE AGENCY CAN BE
13 HELD RESPONSIBLE. AND THAT SAME --

14 THE COURT: YOU AND I ARE JUST GOING TO HAVE TO
15 DISAGREE ON THAT ONE. OKAY.

16 MS. HAYS: YEAH.

17 THE COURT: YEAH.

18 MS. HAYS: OKAY. I TRIED.

19 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'VE HAD SOVEREIGN
20 IMMUNITY SINCE 1776. AND WHEN YOU SAY THAT THE AGENTS
21 CONSPIRED IT DOESN'T WAIVE -- IT DOESN'T WIPE OUT 235 YEARS
22 OF LAW. 200- -- OKAY. YOU CAN APPEAL MY RULINGS IF YOU
23 DISAGREE WITH ME.

24 ALL RIGHT. MR. BUCK, YOU ALSO MOVED TO DISMISS.

25 YOU DON'T HAVE TO GET UP.

1 YOU ALSO MOVED TO DISMISS ON BEHALF OF AGENT
2 TETTEH. AND YOU BASE IT IN PART ON THE VIDEO THAT I'VE NOW
3 WATCHED. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU REMEMBER. I THINK WE WATCHED
4 IT EARLIER ON. I'VE SEEN IT SEVERAL TIMES NOW. I WANT TO
5 TELL YOU WHAT MY PROBLEM IS.

6 I BELIEVE THAT I'M -- WHEN I REVIEW THIS VIDEO AND
7 MAKE FACTUAL DETERMINATIONS IT CONVERTS YOUR MOTION TO
8 DISMISS INTO A SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. WE DIDN'T GIVE HER
9 NOTICE. AND I CAN'T DO THAT.

10 MR. BUCK: YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY.

11 THE COURT: OF COURSE.

12 MR. BUCK: THE VIDEO -- AND I'M SURE THE COURT
13 NOTICED THIS. THE VIDEO IS REFERENCED IN THE COMPLAINT.

14 THE COURT: IT'S REFERENCED, BUT IT'S NOT RELIED
15 ON. I LOOKED AT THAT SPECIFIC ISSUE.

16 MR. BUCK: YES.

17 THE COURT: AND THERE IS CASE LAW IN THE NINTH
18 CIRCUIT THAT SAYS WHEN THEY ATTACH DOCUMENTS AND/OR EVIDENCE
19 TO THE COMPLAINT AND RELY ON IT IN SUPPORT OF THEIR
20 COMPLAINT, THAT I CAN CONSIDER THAT IN RULING ON A MOTION TO
21 DISMISS. I DON'T FEEL THAT REFERENCING IT WAS ENOUGH TO
22 INCORPORATE IT INTO THE COMPLAINT.

23 IF YOU DISAGREE WITH ME -- I'M GOING TO TAKE
24 ANOTHER LOOK AT THAT.

25 MR. BUCK: OKAY.

1 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND I UNDERSTAND THE POINT
2 YOU'RE MAKING.

3 MR. BUCK; IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE --

4 THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

5 MR. BUCK: -- THAT THE LAW IS CLEAR THAT YOU DON'T
6 NEED TO ATTACH. THERE ARE CASES THAT HAVE SO HELD.

7 THE COURT: NOT NECESSARILY ATTACH BUT RELY ON.
8 AND I THINK -- I LOOKED FOR THAT THROUGH THE COMPLAINT --

9 MR. BUCK: YES.

10 THE COURT: -- FOR THAT SPECIFIC THING. IF SHE HAD
11 RELIED ON IT --

12 MR. BUCK: YES.

13 THE COURT: -- AND THIS IS WHAT HAPPENED AND
14 THINGS. BUT ACTUALLY WHAT SHE'S TELLING US, AND WHAT SHE
15 SAID BEFORE, IS SHE'S NOT RELYING ON IT BECAUSE SHE THINKS
16 IT'S FAKE, AT LEAST THE PARTS THAT ARE CRITICAL.

17 SO, NOW I HAVE TWO PROBLEMS. ONE IS IS I DON'T
18 KNOW THAT -- SINCE SHE DIDN'T ATTACH IT AND DIDN'T
19 NECESSARILY RELY ON IT. SHE ONLY REFERRED TO IT. IT'S KIND
20 OF SQUASHY OR SQUISHY. SINCE SHE'S NOW ARGUING THAT IT'S
21 FRAUDULENT, THEN, I REALLY HAVE A PROBLEM RELYING ON IT.

22 MR. BUCK: YOUR HONOR, MY RECOLLECTION IS THAT SHE
23 STATED THAT -- IN HER COMPLAINT THAT IF CERTAIN OFFICERS HAD
24 ONLY LOOKED AT THE VIDEO, THEY WOULD HAVE KNOWN NOT TO TAKE
25 CERTAIN ACTIONS. AND I DON'T RECALL PRECISELY WHAT THAT

1 ACTION WAS. IT MAY HAVE BEEN THE ARREST. IT MAY HAVE BEEN
2 SOMETHING ELSE. BUT IT DID SEEM TO ME THAT THERE WAS MORE
3 THAN JUST REFERENCE.

4 THE COURT: OKAY.

5 MR. BUCK: THAT THERE WAS RELIANCE. AND AT THIS
6 STAGE, OF COURSE, SHE'S NOW TELLING US THAT SHE THINKS THAT
7 IT'S ALTERED. BUT I DON'T THINK THERE WAS AN ALLEGATION IN
8 THE COMPLAINT THAT THE VIDEO WAS ALTERED.

9 THE COURT: LET ME --

10 WHAT DID YOU WANT TO SAY?

11 MS. HAYS: I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT WHEN I MADE
12 THAT STATEMENT, I WAS REFERRING TO THE ACTUAL REAL VIDEO OF
13 WHICH I AM SURE THERE WERE --

14 THE COURT: NOT THE FAKE VIDEO THAT THEY'RE GIVING
15 US.

16 MS. HAYS: EXACTLY.

17 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

18 MR. BUCK, PLEASE GO AHEAD.

19 ALL RIGHT. I WANT TO TELL YOU. HERE'S WHAT I
20 THINK -- AND NOW WE HAVE THIS ROWLAND PROBLEM, BUT I THINK
21 THIS CASE IS MORE APT FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. OKAY.

22 AND MS. HAYS -- WE HAVE THE WHOLE THING ON A VIDEO.
23 SHE GETS TO ARGUE THAT IT WAS FRAUDULENT. AND GIVE ME THE
24 BASIS FOR THAT. OBVIOUSLY THERE ARE COMPUTER PEOPLE THAT CAN
25 JUST LOOK AT IT AND SAY THIS WAS SPLICED, THAT WAS SPLICED.

1 I THINK THAT CAN HAPPEN.

2 OR SHE CAN JUST COME IN AND TESTIFY TO THAT -- THE
3 FACT THAT IT WAS SPLICED. AND I CAN JUST MAKE A RULING ON
4 THAT.

5 BUT AT THIS POINT I'M GOING TO DENY YOUR MOTION FOR
6 TETTEH. I'M NOT -- THIS IS MY INCLINATION. I'VE GOT TO GO
7 BACK AND START OVER BECAUSE -- BECAUSE OF SOME OF THE THINGS
8 THAT I HEARD TODAY. BUT THAT'S WHAT I'M PROBABLY GOING TO
9 DO. AND I'M GOING TO INVITE A MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON
10 TETTEH HERSELF.

11 I DON'T KNOW ABOUT OFFICER AIKENS. BECAUSE IT
12 APPEARS THAT SHE'S ABANDONING ANY CLAIMS AGAINST OFFICER
13 AIKENS, ALTHOUGH SHE'S SUING DOE. AND DOE IS AIKENS,
14 ALTHOUGH SHE DOESN'T AGREE.

15 SO, MY PROBLEM IS IS THAT I CAN KICK AIKENS OUT OF
16 THE CASE BECAUSE SHE'S NOT ALLEGING ANY CLAIMS AGAINST
17 AIKENS. BUT THEN, YOU KNOW, SIX MONTHS FROM NOW WHEN
18 EVERYBODY IS CONVINCED THAT AIKENS IS AIKENS SHE'S GOING TO
19 IDENTIFY DOE AS AIKENS.

20 MR. BUCK: YOUR HONOR, MY -- MY READING OF THE
21 THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT, WHICH, OF COURSE IS THE ONLY
22 OPERATIONAL VERSION OF THE COMPLAINT -- THE FACT THAT IN
23 PRIOR COMPLAINTS SHE HAD NAMED MS. AIKENS DOES NOT MEAN THAT
24 MS. AIKENS IS NOW A DEFENDANT. AND AS I READ THE COMPLAINT,
25 THE THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT, MS. AIKENS IS CURRENTLY NOT A

1 DEFENDANT.

2 THE COURT: I AGREE WITH YOU. BUT THERE'S A DOE.
3 AND YOU AND I KNOW THAT DOE IS AIKENS. SO, I CAN SAY AIKENS
4 IS OUT OF THE CASE, BUT AT SOME POINT THERE'S GOING TO BE AN
5 AGREEMENT I THINK BETWEEN EVERYBODY, WHETHER IT'S THROUGH
6 DISCOVERY OR SOMETHING, THAT AIKENS IS AIKENS AND THAT'S WHO
7 DOE IS.

8 SO, YOU KNOW, YOU DON'T HAVE TO FILE ANYTHING ON
9 BEHALF OF AIKENS. I'M GOING TO LEAVE THE RECORD THE WAY IT
10 IS. SHE'S SUING MS. TETTEH AND DOE ONE. AND I BELIEVE THAT
11 DOE ONE IS AIKENS BASED ON EVERYTHING -- ACTUALLY, I THINK
12 SHE'S GOT THEM MIXED UP. I THINK AIKENS IS ACTUALLY THE ONE
13 SHE THINKS IS TETTEH, AND TETTEH IS THE ONE THAT SHE THINKS
14 IS AIKENS. BUT I'M NOT GOING TO -- I CAN'T UNWIND THAT RIGHT
15 NOW.

16 MR. BUCK: VERY WELL, YOUR HONOR.

17 THE COURT: OKAY.

18 ALL RIGHT. SO, THAT'S WHERE WE ARE WITH THE
19 FEDERAL DEFENDANTS.

20 MS. HUMISTON, IF YOU DON'T MIND, LET ME TELL YOU
21 WHAT'S GOING ON WITH THESE -- WITH YOUR CLIENTS. I WAS
22 PREPARED TO GRANT SUMMARY JUDGMENT AGAINST YOUR CLIENTS. AND
23 I HAVE TO ADMIT I DID NOT GO BACK AND ADDRESS -- I JUST
24 ASSUMED THAT THERE HAD BEEN A ROWLAND NOTICE. AND THAT'S MY
25 FAULT.

1 LET ME TELL YOU WHAT I'M USED TO. USUALLY IT'S THE
2 LOS ANGELES CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
3 THAT APPEAR IN FRONT OF ME IN THESE GOVERNMENT CASES.
4 SOMETIMES THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. AND THEY ROUTINELY WHEN
5 THEY FILE A MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ISSUE WHAT WE CALL A
6 ROWLAND NOTICE, R-O-W-L-A-N-D, UNDER -- IT'S 154 F.3D 952.
7 IT'S A 1998 CASE -- IN WHICH, YOU KNOW -- AND I DISAGREE WITH
8 IT.

9 BUT THE NINTH CIRCUIT HAS SAID THAT WHEN YOU FILE A
10 MOTION IN A CASE, AND THERE IS A PRO SE PLAINTIFF, YOU FILE A
11 SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. YOU BASICALLY HAVE TO GIVE THE PRO
12 SE PLAINTIFF A NOTICE. AND YOU HAVE TO TELL THEM THAT --
13 THAT THE NOTICE, WHICH IS PHRASED IN ORDINARY LANGUAGE,
14 UNDERSTANDABLE LANGUAGE, CALCULATED TO APPRISE AN
15 UNSOPHISTICATED PRISONER OF HIS OR HER RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS
16 UNDER RULE 56 -- AND I KNOW IT SAYS "PRISONER," BUT THEY'VE
17 EXPANDED IT TO EVERYBODY. YOU HAVE TO FILE COUNTER
18 AFFIDAVITS OR OTHER RESPONSIVE EVIDENTIARY MATERIALS AND BE
19 ALERTED TO THE FACT THAT THE FAILURE TO DO SO MIGHT RESULT IN
20 THE ENTRY OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT AGAINST THE PLAINTIFF. AND
21 THAT IF YOU LOSE SUMMARY JUDGMENT, YOU'RE GOING TO LOSE THE
22 CASE.

23 SO, THAT'S WHERE I AM RIGHT NOW.

24 AND WE DIDN'T DO THAT. I DIDN'T DO IT, AND YOU
25 DIDN'T DO IT. AND I'M GOING TO TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR IT.

1 IT WASN'T DONE.

2 SHE'S NOW ASKED CAN I HAVE TIME TO FILE A SUMMARY
3 -- A DECLARATION, AND I HAVE TO GIVE IT TO HER.

4 I COULD GRANT YOUR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. AND
5 THERE WOULD BE A FEW DEFENDANTS LEFT. AND AT THE END OF THE
6 YEAR THIS CASE WOULD GO AWAY ONE WAY OR THE OTHER AS TO
7 EVERYWHERE -- EVERYONE.

8 BUT IF YOU WENT UP TO THE NINTH CIRCUIT -- WHEN SHE
9 GOES UP TO THE NINTH CIRCUIT, THEY'RE GOING TO REVERSE IT AND
10 SEND IT BACK, AND YOU'RE GOING TO BE BACK HERE A YEAR OR TWO
11 FROM NOW UNWINDING THIS WHOLE THING. AND IT'S JUST NOT WORTH
12 IT. ALL RIGHT.

13 SO, TO THE EXTENT THAT SHE IS REQUESTING TIME TO
14 FILE AFFIDAVITS, I'M GOING TO ALLOW HER TO DO IT. I WILL
15 CONSIDER HER EARLIER DECLARATION SO THAT SHE DOESN'T HAVE TO
16 FILE ANYTHING.

17 IF YOU WANT TO FILE A SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION, MS.
18 HAYS, YOU CAN.

19 AT THAT TIME, MS. HUMISTON, I'M GOING TO REEVALUATE
20 YOUR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND MAKE A RULING. I DON'T
21 THINK ANYTHING SHE SAYS IN HER DECLARATION IS GOING TO AFFECT
22 SOME OF THE FOLKS WHO WERE REMOVED FROM THE SITUATION. I
23 THINK THAT YOU'RE GOING TO STILL BE ENTITLED TO SUMMARY
24 JUDGMENT ON THOSE. BUT I THINK SOME OF THE PEOPLE THAT WERE
25 DIRECTLY INVOLVED IT MAY SURVIVE SUMMARY JUDGMENT.

1 I'M GOING TO GIVE HER TWO WEEKS TO FILE HER
2 DECLARATION.

3 IF YOU WANT TO FILE ANYTHING AFTER THAT, I'LL LET
4 YOU KNOW. YOU CAN HAVE THAT OPPORTUNITY. AND I'LL MAKE A
5 RULING EITHER IN MAY -- AT THE END OF THIS MONTH OR IN MAY.
6 ALL RIGHT. IF YOU WANT, I'LL DO IT AS A TENTATIVE, AND YOU
7 CAN ARGUE ON THE PHONE WHETHER OR NOT I MADE THE RIGHT
8 DECISION, AND WE'LL SEND THAT ALONG.

9 MS. HAYS, TODAY IS APRIL -- I'M SORRY.

10 MS. HUMISTON, IF YOU WANT TO TALK ME OUT OF THIS,
11 I'M HAPPY FOR YOU TO TALK ME OUT OF IT. IF YOU WANT TO TELL
12 ME YOUR NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION WAS ENOUGH, I'LL TAKE A
13 LOOK AT IT. I DON'T THINK IT WAS.

14 BUT PLEASE GO AHEAD.

15 MS. HUMISTON: WELL, I PERSONALLY THINK, YOUR
16 HONOR, WE'VE GIVEN HER MORE THAN SUFFICIENT TIME AND MORE
17 THAN SUFFICIENT NOTICE. AND YOU'VE TOLD HER OVER AND OVER
18 SHE NEEDS TO PRODUCE FACTS -- BECAUSE THIS IS MY THIRD
19 SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. BUT --

20 THE COURT: I'LL TAKE A LOOK AT THAT.

21 MS. HUMISTON: -- ARE YOU SAYING THAT NONE OF THESE
22 DEFENDANTS ARE OUT AT THIS POINT?

23 THE COURT: I'M NOT SAYING THAT. I THINK SOME OF
24 THEM WILL BE BECAUSE NO MATTER WHAT SHE FILES -- THE
25 DEFENDANTS WHO DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH BEING RIGHT

1 THERE AT THE SCENE, I THINK THEY'RE GOING TO BE ENTITLED TO
2 SUMMARY JUDGMENT.

3 I COULD LOOK AT THAT. HAVE WE FILED A ROWLAND IN
4 ANY OF THE OTHERS? DO YOU KNOW?

5 MS. HUMISTON: I DON'T KNOW, YOUR HONOR.

6 THE COURT: I'M GOING TO LOOK.

7 MS. HUMISTON: QUITE FRANKLY, I'M NOT FAMILIAR WITH
8 IT. AND I --

9 THE COURT: I'M GOING TO LOOK.

10 MS. HUMISTON: -- DON'T DO IT. SO, I -- I'VE NEVER
11 DONE IT.

12 THE COURT: OKAY.

13 ALL RIGHT. I'M GOING TO LOOK AND SEE. IF WE HAVE
14 GIVEN HER SUFFICIENT NOTICE BEFORE, AND WE'VE GIVEN HER
15 ROWLAND NOTICE BEFORE, IT PROBABLY IS GOING TO BE GOOD
16 ENOUGH.

17 SO, WHAT I'M GOING TO DO IS I'M GOING TO GO BACK
18 AND LOOK THROUGH THE FILE. YOU KNOW, THIS CASE IS NOW COMING
19 UP -- IT'S OVER TWO YEARS OLD. WE'VE HAD HUNDREDS OF
20 PLEADINGS, AND I DON'T REMEMBER THEM ALL. I'M GOING TO GO
21 BACK AND LOOK AT IT.

22 SO, YOU'VE HEARD ME SAY THAT IN HEARINGS BEFORE THE
23 COURT.

24 MS. HUMISTON: YOU'VE WRITTEN MANY ORDERS TO HER
25 THAT SHE NEEDS TO COME UP WITH SOME EVIDENCE. SHE NEEDS TO

1 --

2 THE COURT: OKAY.

3 MS. HUMISTON: -- RESPOND TO THIS DISCOVERY.

4 I THINK -- I'M FAIRLY CERTAIN THIS IS MY THIRD
5 SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION, YOUR HONOR. I'VE GOT PROSECUTORS
6 AND POLICE OFFICERS WITH IMMUNITY, AND I'M TRYING TO BE
7 PATIENT. BUT, YOU KNOW, SHE -- SHE SAID IT OVER AND OVER.
8 SHE SAID HER CLAIMS OVER AND OVER. WE'VE HEARD IT VERBALLY.
9 WE HEAR IT IN LETTERS. SHE RAMBLES ON IN EVERYTHING SHE
10 FILES.

11 AND I APPRECIATE WHAT YOU'RE DOING. AND I'M
12 WILLING TO WAIT A LITTLE WHILE. BUT, YOU KNOW, IT'S REALLY
13 NOT FAIR. I NOW HAVE A DISTRICT ATTORNEY WHO'S BEING ACCUSED
14 OF THESE THINGS. AND IT'S NOT FAIR TO THESE PEOPLE TO BE --

15 THE COURT: I AGREE.

16 MS. HUMISTON: I'VE BEEN NAMED AS A DEFENDANT IN
17 THIS LAWSUIT. AND NEED I TELL YOU, I'M REALLY ANNOYED BY
18 THAT.

19 THESE PEOPLE ALL NOW HAVE TO DECLARE THEY'VE BEEN A
20 DEFENDANT IN A FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUIT, AND IT'S REALLY
21 UNACCEPTABLE THAT THIS WOMAN KEEPS DRAGGING THIS ON.

22 I ACCEPT WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. I CERTAINLY HOPE I
23 DON'T HAVE TO COME BACK DOWN HERE --

24 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

25 OKAY. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, COUNSEL.

1 MS. HUMISTON: I WILL SUBMIT ON WHAT YOU DECIDE.

2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

3 MS. HAYS.

4 MS. HAYS: ONCE AGAIN, THE ONLY THING THAT I CAN
5 SAY IS THERE WAS A TOTAL -- I DON'T REMEMBER IF THIS ROWLAND
6 NOTICE SAYS "ROWLAND NOTICE" ON IT OR IF IT WAS IMPREGNATED
7 INTO THE CIVIL MINUTES ORDER. BUT I DON'T REMEMBER GETTING A
8 SPECIFIC NOTICE ON THIS.

9 BUT HAVING NEVER DONE THIS BEFORE -- LIKE I SAID,
10 WHEN I STARTED, I DIDN'T KNOW THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN CIVIL
11 AND CRIMINAL ATTORNEYS. SO, I'VE LEARNED A LOT IN THE LAST
12 TWO YEARS.

13 BUT I WAS NOT OF THE UNDERSTANDING THAT EVERY TIME
14 YOU DO A NEW COMPLAINT THAT ALL OF THE OTHER EVIDENCE THAT
15 YOU'VE PRESENTED THUS FAR IS BASICALLY WIPED OUT. I THOUGHT
16 THAT ALL OF THAT CONTINUES AND MOVES FORWARD WITH THE CASE.

17 THE COURT: OKAY.

18 ALL RIGHT. I'M GOING TO -- I'LL ISSUE A MINUTE
19 ORDER NEXT WEEK AND TELL YOU WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO. I DON'T
20 WANT YOU TO DO ANYTHING YET.

21 I'M GOING TO MOVE ON TO THE BURBANK-GLENDALE
22 AIRPORT AUTHORITY.

23 THEY'RE HERE?

24 MR. DALEY: YES, YOUR HONOR.

25 MR. RUIZ: YES, YOUR HONOR.

1 THE COURT: OKAY. THEY MOVED FOR DISMISSAL BASED
2 ON THE FACT THAT YOU'RE ALLEGING THAT THIS WAS A RESULT OF
3 MAYBE POLICY STATEMENT, ORDINANCE, REGULATION OR SOMETHING,
4 BUT YOU HAVEN'T PROVIDED ANYTHING TO SAY THAT.

5 WHAT YOU FAILED TO DO IS SPECIFY WHICH POLICIES OR
6 CUSTOMS OF THE AIRPORT AUTHORITY WERE THE MOVING FORCE BEHIND
7 THE ALLEGED DEPRIVATION OF YOUR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

8 INSTEAD, YOU CHARGED THAT EACH AND EVERY ACT
9 ALLEGED TO HAVE BEEN DONE BY AN EMPLOYEE WAS DONE IN THE
10 EXECUTION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE OFFICIAL POLICIES,
11 PRACTICES AND CUSTOMS OF THE RESPECTIVE EMPLOYEES.

12 THAT'S YOUR THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT AT PARAGRAPH
13 69.

14 THE DEFENDANTS HAVE ARGUED THAT THESE BARE
15 CONCLUSORY ALLEGATIONS ARE NOT ENOUGH TO STATE A MONELL
16 CLAIM. AND I AGREE. YOU HAD TO DO SOMETHING MORE,
17 PARTICULARLY IN THE FACE OF A MOTION TO DISMISS IN THIS
18 FOURTH ATTEMPT TO RAISE A VALID CLAIM AGAINST THE AIRPORT
19 AUTHORITY.

20 FOR THIS REASON I'M GOING TO DISMISS THE AIRPORT
21 AUTHORITY WITH PREJUDICE. YOU'RE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO SUE
22 THE AIRPORT AUTHORITY.

23 MS. HAYS, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO
24 TELL ME WHY YOU THINK I'M WRONG. GO AHEAD.

25 MS. HAYS: NOW, THIS MIGHT SEEM REAL FARFETCHED,

1 YOUR HONOR, BUT I JUST GO ON MY INSTINCTS.

2 THERE WAS SOMETHING THAT CAME UP THAT REALLY
3 TROUBLES ME, AND I HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO INVESTIGATE IT TO THE
4 EXTENT THAT I WOULD LIKE TO. BUT THERE WAS ACTUALLY AN
5 INDIVIDUAL THAT WAS A MEMBER OF THE AIRPORT AUTHORITY, A MR.
6 LOMBARDO, WHO WAS, I BELIEVE, AT THE TIME OF THIS INCIDENT
7 THE PRESIDENT OF THE AIRPORT AUTHORITY. FROM WHAT I CAN READ
8 ABOUT THIS GENTLEMAN, HE WAS A GENTLEMAN OF HIGH MORAL
9 STANDARDS AND ETHICS. AND HE WORKED REAL HARD TO GET
10 INSULATION FOR THE PEOPLE IN HOMES THAT WERE AROUND THE
11 AIRPORT.

12 AND, SO, I'M WONDERING WHETHER MAYBE HE TRIED TO
13 GET SOME MORE INFORMATION REGARDING WHAT HAD REALLY HAPPENED.
14 THIS MAN IS NOW DEAD. AND THERE ARE DIFFERENT REPORTS THAT
15 HE EITHER DIED OF PROSTATE CANCER OR HE DIED OF A HEART
16 ATTACK. AND THIS MAY JUST BE WAY OUT IN OUTFIELD, AND I --
17 IT'S -- BUT WHEN YOU'RE ASKING ABOUT DISMISSING THE AIRPORT
18 AUTHORITY, I WOULD LIKE TO RULE OUT THE FACT THAT THIS
19 INDIVIDUAL HAD MEANT AT LEAST -- AT LEAST GIVE ME THE
20 OPPORTUNITY TO DO DISCOVERY --

21 THE COURT: DENIED.

22 MS. HAYS: -- TO FIND OUT IF HE ACTUALLY HAD
23 POSSIBLY DISCOVERED SOMETHING RELATED TO MY CASE.

24 THE COURT: AND THEN SOMEONE KILLED HIM?

25 MS. HAYS: YOU KNOW, THOSE TYPE OF THINGS DO

1 HAPPEN, YOUR HONOR.

2 THE COURT: I WANT TO TELL YOU THIS. I DON'T HAVE
3 ANY REASON TO KNOW ONE WAY OR THE OTHER, BUT YOU'RE NOT GOING
4 TO BE ALLOWED TO CONDUCT THAT DISCOVERY IN THE CONTEXT OF
5 THIS CASE.

6 THE AIRPORT AUTHORITY IS GOING TO BE DISMISSED WITH
7 PREJUDICE. SO IS OFFICER NEAL, WHO CONDUCTED THE INTERNAL
8 AFFAIRS INVESTIGATION AFTER THE INCIDENT. HE HAD NOTHING TO
9 DO WITH A VIOLATION OF YOUR CIVIL RIGHTS. ASSUMING YOU ARGUE
10 AND THAT HE RATIFIED WHAT THEY DID, THAT'S NOT A VIOLATION.

11 I WILL -- UNFORTUNATELY REGARDING OFFICERS ALBARO
12 AND GREEN AT THIS POINT, THERE'S ENOUGH EVIDENCE FOR HER TO
13 GO FORWARD ON THEM. THEY WERE THE OFFICERS I BELIEVE THAT
14 TOOK CUSTODY OF MS. HAYS IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE INCIDENT. I
15 CAN'T DO IT ON A MOTION TO DISMISS. YOU CAN COME BACK ON A
16 SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION IF YOU THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE. I'LL
17 TAKE A LOOK AT IT.

18 YOU'RE NOW AWARE OF ROWLAND. PLEASE GIVE HER
19 NOTICE ABOUT THAT.

20 AND, NOW, AGAIN, THE BURBANK POLICE OFFICERS AND
21 THE CITY ATTORNEYS AND THINGS LIKE THAT, I'M GOING TO ISSUE A
22 MINUTE ORDER NEXT WEEK AND TELL YOU EXACTLY WHAT -- WHAT'S
23 GOING TO HAPPEN WITH THOSE.

24 FOR MOST OF THEM I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT NO MATTER
25 WHAT HER DECLARATION SAID WOULD HAVE ANY IMPACT ON MY

1 DECISION. AND THAT IS JUST TO GRANT SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
2 TO THEM.

3 ANYBODY WHO WAS DIRECTLY INVOLVED, IT MIGHT BE A
4 LITTLE DIFFERENT IF SHE'S ABLE TO COUNTER THE DECLARATIONS
5 THAT I HAVE IN FRONT OF ME. BUT WE'LL FIND OUT ABOUT THAT.

6 ALL RIGHT. DID I GIVE EVERYBODY A CHANCE?

7 FIRST OF ALL, MA'AM, WOULD YOU STAND UP, PLEASE.
8 TELL ME WHAT YOUR NAME IS.

9 MS. TETTEH: TESIA TETTEH.

10 THE COURT: TESIA TETTEH. WERE YOU WORKING AT THE
11 AIRPORT THAT DAY?

12 MS. TETTEH: YES.

13 THE COURT: OKAY. THAT'S ALL I WANT TO TALK TO YOU
14 ABOUT NOW. ALL RIGHT.

15 IS THAT OKAY WITH YOU, MR. BUCK? I'M SORRY. AND
16 COUNSEL IS HERE FOR MS. TETTEH.

17 I DON'T WANT YOU TO HAVE TO COME DOWN HERE AGAIN.
18 ALL RIGHT.

19 IF YOU -- IF FOR ANY REASON THE GOVERNMENT WANTS TO
20 BRING YOU DOWN OR YOU WANT TO COME, YOU'RE WELCOME. YOU'RE A
21 PARTY TO THIS LAWSUIT. YOU CAN COME ANY TIME YOU WANT.

22 I APPRECIATE THE FACT THAT YOU CAME DOWN. THERE
23 WAS SOME CONFUSION, AT LEAST ON MS. HAYS' PART AS TO WHO YOU
24 WERE AND MAYBE YOU CHANGED OR SOMETHING LIKE THIS. SHE'S NOW
25 HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO SEE YOU. YOU'RE IN UNIFORM, YOU KNOW,

1 FLANKED BY THE LAWYERS FROM THE GOVERNMENT. AND YOU'VE TOLD
2 ME WHAT YOUR NAME IS. AND I'M SATISFIED THAT THAT'S CLEARED
3 UP ANY CONFUSION THERE MIGHT BE.

4 MS. HAYS.

5 MS. HAYS: CAN I HAVE PERMISSION TO TAKE A PICTURE
6 OF HER?

7 THE COURT: ANYBODY HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT?

8 MR. BUCK: I'D LIKE TO SPEAK WITH MS. TETTEH.

9 MS. TETTEH.

10 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)

11 THE COURT: YOU'RE ON ALL THESE COURT -- CAMERA IN
12 THE COURTROOM.

13 RIGHT, MARSHAL?

14 UNITED STATES MARSHAL: THAT'S RIGHT, YOUR HONOR.

15 MR. BUCK: YOUR HONOR, THIS -- WE WOULD RATHER NOT.

16 THE COURT: NO PROBLEM.

17 MR. BUCK: ON THE OTHER HAND, WE DID -- WE DID ASK
18 MS. TETTEH TO BRING HER IDENTIFICATION.

19 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

20 MR. BUCK: SHE BROUGHT HER NOT ONLY FEDERAL
21 IDENTIFICATION BUT HER CALIFORNIA DRIVER'S LICENSE. AND --

22 THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE GREAT.

23 WOULD YOU MIND -- WOULD YOU MIND ALLOWING HER TO
24 LOOK AT THAT?

25 MR. BUCK: I THINK THAT WOULD BE FINE.

1 THE COURT: AND IF IT'S OKAY WITH YOU, MS. TETTEH,
2 YOU'RE NOT REQUIRED TO, BUT IF YOU'D ALLOW HER TO WRITE DOWN
3 YOUR DRIVER'S LICENSE NUMBER, IT'S GOING TO RESOLVE A LOT OF
4 THINGS -- AT LEAST IT'S GOING TO ALLEVIATE OTHER THINGS.

5 MS. TETTEH: NO. SHE CAN SEE THE PICTURE --

6 THE COURT: THAT'S FINE.

7 MS. TETTEH: -- OF WHEN I WAS MORE HEAVIER.

8 THE COURT: YOU'RE IN CONTROL. YOU DO WHATEVER --
9 WHATEVER YOU WANT IS FINE.

10 I APPRECIATE YOU VOLUNTEERING TO DO THIS. YOU'RE
11 NOT REQUIRED TO DO IT. AND THIS IS NOT REALLY THE TIME AND
12 THE PLACE FOR DISCOVERY.

13 ALTHOUGH, MR. BUCK IS GOING TO SHARE THAT WITH YOU.

14 MR. BUCK: I PROVIDED MS. HAYS FOR HER INSPECTION
15 --

16 MS. TETTEH: AND I ASK THAT SHE NOT WRITE ANYTHING
17 DOWN --

18 THE COURT: DON'T WRITE ANYTHING DOWN, MS. HAYS.
19 STOP.

20 MR. BUCK: YOU WERE ASKED TO LOOK AT THE PICTURE --

21 MS. HAYS: CAN I --

22 MR. BUCK: UH-HUM.

23 MS. TETTEH: OKAY. I --

24 MR. BUCK: THANK YOU, MS. TETTEH.

25 MS. TETTEH: -- WOULD LIKE TO HAVE MY

1 IDENTIFICATION BACK.

2 THE COURT: OKAY. MS. HAYS.

3 MS. HAYS: YES.

4 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

5 SHE HAS CERTAIN RIGHTS HERE. AND ACTUALLY AT SOME
6 POINT, MS. TETTEH, IN THE CONTEXT OF DISCOVERY YOU MAY BE
7 REQUIRED TO SHARE A PICTURE -- THAT PICTURE. BUT ANYWAY, YOU
8 DON'T HAVE TO DO IT NOW.

9 ALL RIGHT. SO, YOU DO WHAT YOU WANT TO DO. AND I
10 WILL ISSUE ORDERS IN THIS CASE NEXT WEEK.

11 IS THERE ANYTHING I OVERLOOKED FROM ANYBODY?

12 MS. HUMISTON: YOUR HONOR, I JUST WANTED TO STATE
13 FOR THE RECORD IN RESPONSE TO MS. HAYS' SUGGESTION THAT ONE
14 OF OUR EXHIBITS WASN'T READABLE. WHAT -- THE POINT THAT WE
15 MADE WITH THAT EXHIBIT IS IT WASN'T READABLE TO US, WHICH, OF
16 COURSE, IS SET FORTH IN OUR DECLARATION. SO, WE GAVE YOU
17 EXACTLY WHAT THE TSA GAVE US. I GAVE YOU OTHERS THAT OUR
18 PROSECUTOR THEN WAS ABLE TO -- WITH A PROGRAM OUTSIDE THE
19 CITY BREAK DOWN AND USE.

20 THE COURT: OKAY.

21 AND BASICALLY WHAT SHE WANTS -- AMONG THE OTHER
22 THINGS SHE WANTS IN HER DISCOVERY IS THE ORIGINAL UNALTERED,
23 UNDOCTORED VIDEO. AND, YOU, ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF BURBANK
24 HAVE NO UNALTERED -- NO DIFFERENT VIDEO THAN THE ONE WE'VE
25 JUST WATCHED.

1 CORRECT?

2 MS. HUMISTON: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

3 AND WHAT I DID WAS I LITERALLY GAVE YOU A COPY OF
4 WHAT THE TSA GAVE US IN A FORMAT THAT WE CAN'T READ.

5 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND.

6 MS. HUMISTON: SO --

7 THE COURT: I'M JUST TRYING TO MAKE A RECORD HERE.
8 AND THE RECORD IS IS THAT IF I GRANTED HER SIX MONTHS FOR
9 DISCOVERY, SHE WOULDN'T GET FROM YOU OR ANY OF YOUR CLIENTS A
10 DIFFERENT VIDEO?

11 MS. HUMISTON: NO, YOUR HONOR.

12 THE COURT: YOU HAVE ONE VIDEO, THE SAME VIDEO THAT
13 MR. BUCK JUST SHOWED US.

14 MS. HUMISTON: YES.

15 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

16 AND THE AIRPORT AUTHORITY DOESN'T -- THEIR LAWYERS
17 ARE HERE AS WELL.

18 YOU DON'T HAVE ANOTHER VIDEO, RIGHT, GUYS?

19 MR. DALEY: NO. NO, YOUR HONOR.

20 MR. RUIZ: NO.

21 THE COURT: NO ONE -- HAS ANY OF THE LAWYERS IN THE
22 CASE SEEN A DIFFERENT VIDEO THAN THE ONE WE JUST WATCHED?

23 MS. HUMISTON: NO, YOUR HONOR.

24 THE COURT: OKAY.

25 MS. HAYS.

1 MS. HAYS: SO, YOU'RE STATING THAT --

2 THE COURT: MS. HAYS, YOU DIRECT ALL YOUR INQUIRIES
3 TO ME. YOU'RE NOT ALLOWED TO TALK WITH COUNSEL DURING THE
4 HEARING. OKAY.

5 MS. HAYS: OKAY.

6 THE COURT: PLEASE GO AHEAD.

7 MS. HAYS: IF YOU CAN ASK MS. HUMISTON WHETHER WHAT
8 I GOT AND WHEN I SAW A BLANK SCREEN THAT IT DOES REQUIRE A
9 SPECIAL VIEWER TO WATCH THE VIDEO. SO, TECHNICALLY WITH THAT
10 VIEWER, IF I USE THE FILE THAT SHE PROVIDED ON THE CD, THEN,
11 I SHOULD BE ABLE TO SEE THE PICTURE?

12 THE COURT: RIGHT. I MEAN, SHE HAD THE SAME
13 PROBLEM YOU HAVE. SHE CAN'T OPEN IT.

14 AM I RIGHT, MS. HUMISTON?

15 MS. HUMISTON: YES, YOUR HONOR. I MEAN --

16 THE COURT: IS IT HU-MIS-TON OR HUME-IS-TON?

17 MS. HUMISTON: HUMISTON. O-N.

18 THE COURT: HUMISTON. I'M SORRY. HUMISTON.

19 MS. HUMISTON: YES, YOUR HONOR.

20 THE COURT: HUMISTON. OKAY.

21 SO, SHE GOT THAT THAT, SHE GAVE TO YOU. SHE'S
22 NEVER OPENED IT.

23 YOU'VE NEVER SEEN IT.

24 AM I RIGHT, MS. HUMISTON?

25 MS. HUMISTON: I HAVE NEVER BEEN ABLE TO OPEN THAT

1 BECAUSE OUR COMPUTERS WON'T. BUT OUR OUTSIDE PROSECUTOR WAS
2 -- WHO DOESN'T WORK ON CITY COMPUTERS -- WAS ABLE TO OPEN
3 IT. LIKE MR. BUCK, HE CAN OPEN IT IN OTHER VIEWERS THAT WE
4 CANNOT DOWNLOAD. AND I GAVE THAT TO YOU TOO BECAUSE SHE
5 LOOKED AT IT IN THAT FORMAT. AND THAT WAS GIVEN TO YOU.

6 THE COURT: MS. HAYS DID?

7 MS. HUMISTON: NO. NO, THE PROSECUTOR --

8 THE COURT: OH, THE PROSECUTOR.

9 MS. HUMISTON: I GAVE THAT TO YOU SO THAT YOU COULD
10 SEE IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROSECUTOR'S DECLARATION WHAT SHE
11 KNEW AND SHE SAW BECAUSE SHE COULD OPEN IT IN A DIFFERENT
12 FORMAT.

13 THE COURT: WELL, THE ONE WE JUST SAW IN COURT
14 TODAY WAS ONE MR. BUCK PROVIDED FOR -- TO ME. AND THAT WAS
15 THE SAME VIDEO, RIGHT?

16 MR. BUCK: YES. IT'S THE SAME VIDEO, AND THE SAME
17 PICTURE WAS TAKEN, YOUR HONOR. HOWEVER, THE FORMAT IN WHICH
18 APPARENTLY THE AIRPORT RECORDS THIS -- I BELIEVE IT WAS
19 INDORA IS THE FORMAT?

20 MS. HAYS: NO, IT'S -- I THINK IT'S OMNICAST IF I'M
21 NOT -- OR GEMTEX IS THE NAME OF THE COMPANY THAT DID THE
22 INSTALLATION OF THE SECURITY. I CAN ACTUALLY SHOW YOU ON MY
23 COMPUTER WHAT THEY ACTUALLY GOT AND HOW IT NEEDS TO BE
24 OPENED.

25 THE COURT: OKAY. BUT -- GO AHEAD.

1 MR. BUCK: FORGIVE ME, YOUR HONOR.

2 THE COURT: YOU GO RIGHT AHEAD.

3 MR. BUCK: WE -- I HAVE THE I.T. PEOPLE IN MY
4 OFFICE -- THE RECORDING INTO WINDOWS MEDIA PLAYER, WHICH IS A
5 COMMONLY USED METHOD OF VIEWING IT SO THAT I CAN VIEW IT ON
6 MY COMPUTER AND THE COURT COULD AND MS. HAYS COULD VIEW IT
7 SIMILARLY ON HERS WITHOUT DIFFICULTY.

8 BUT THE RECORDING IS THE SAME. IT'S SIMPLY THE
9 MEDIA IN WHICH IT'S --

10 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. OKAY.

11 ANYTHING ANYBODY ELSE WANTS TO SAY?

12 THANKS -- GO AHEAD, COUNSEL.

13 MR. RUIZ: WELL, YOUR HONOR, JUST WE -- MR. DALEY
14 WOULD LIKE TO BE HEARD ON MS. ALBARO AND MR. GREEN.

15 THE COURT: PLEASE.

16 MR. RUIZ: THANK YOU.

17 THE COURT: THANK YOU. I'M SORRY. I HAVE THEM
18 HERE IN MY PROPOSED ORDER --

19 MR. DALEY: NO PROBLEM, YOUR HONOR.

20 THE COURT: -- THAT I WAS GOING TO GIVE YOU AND
21 DIDN'T FINISH.

22 BUT GO AHEAD.

23 MR. DALEY: WELL, SO, I JUST WANTED TO POINT OUT
24 THAT MS. HAYS' THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT -- THIS IS HER FOURTH
25 ITERATION OF HER COMPLAINT.

1 THE COURT: RIGHT.

2 MR. DALEY: AND AS TO ALBARO AND GREEN, IT STILL
3 SUFFERS FROM THE SAME DEFICIENCIES, NAMELY THAT ALL SHE'S
4 STATING IN HER ALLEGATIONS ARE CONCLUSORY STATEMENTS THAT ARE
5 NOT SUPPORTED BY ANY FACTS.

6 AND, SO, I -- BASICALLY I WOULD JUST --

7 THE COURT: WELL -- GO AHEAD.

8 I WANT TO TELL YOU WHAT -- THE WAY I INTERPRET IT,
9 AND YOU TELL ME WHY YOU THINK I'M WRONG. THEY SHOWED UP
10 ESSENTIALLY SUMMARIZING HER THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT. THEY
11 SHOWED UP AND THEY TOOK HER INTO CUSTODY. SHE HAD BEEN --
12 THERE HAD BEEN A CITIZEN ARREST BY THE OFFICERS, THE TSA
13 OFFICERS. AND THEY HELD HER WHILE -- UNTIL SHE WAS TURNED
14 OVER TO BURBANK POLICE.

15 AND WHAT I BELIEVE IS THAT'S ENOUGH TO STATE A
16 CLAIM BECAUSE THE OFFICERS THEMSELVES HAVE TO HAVE PROBABLE
17 CAUSE TO TAKE SOMEONE -- TO TRANSFER SOMEONE IN A CITIZEN'S
18 ARREST SITUATION. AND -- SO, THAT'S AN ISSUE OF FACT. NOW
19 -- I THINK.

20 MR. DALEY: SHE CONCEDES IN HER COMPLAINT THAT A
21 TSA AGENT SPOKE WITH ALBARO AND GREEN AND TOLD THE -- THE TSA
22 AGENT TOLD ALBARO AND GREEN THAT THERE WAS A STRUGGLE, THERE
23 WAS SOME ISSUE. AND THAT MS. HAYS HAD HIT THE TSA AGENT.

24 AND, SO, OUR POSITION IS THAT BASED ON THAT, BASED
25 ON MS. HAYS' ALLEGATIONS, THAT WAS ENOUGH FOR PROBABLE CAUSE

1 FOR ALBARO AND GREEN TO DETAIN HER.

2 THE COURT: I'LL TAKE ANOTHER LOOK AT THAT, AND
3 I'LL TAKE ANOTHER LOOK AT YOUR BRIEF.

4 BUT LET ME TELL YOU THE WAY I INTERPRET IT, THAT
5 IS, IS THAT WHEN ONE SIDE SAYS THIS IS WHAT HAPPENED, THE
6 OFFICER HAS TO DO AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION AND DETERMINE
7 THAT'S MORE LIKELY TRUE THAN THE OTHER SIDE. AND SHE'S
8 SAYING THAT THAT WASN'T DONE. THEY DIDN'T, LIKE, SAY, WELL,
9 LET ME HEAR YOUR SIDE OF THE STORY BUT THEN KIND OF WEIGH
10 THOSE TWO STORIES AND SAY, YOU'RE WRONG. SHE'S RIGHT.
11 YOU'RE GOING TO JAIL.

12 THAT'S WHAT SHE'S CLAIMING. AND AT THIS POINT IN A
13 MOTION TO DISMISS I THINK THE BARE ALLEGATIONS ARE ENOUGH TO
14 SURVIVE, BUT I WILL TAKE ANOTHER LOOK AT IT IN THE CONTEXT OF
15 YOUR ARGUMENTS.

16 MR. DALEY: MAY I RESPOND TO --

17 THE COURT: YES.

18 MR. DALEY: -- THAT STATEMENT.

19 THE PROBLEM THAT WE SAW WITH THAT IS SHE MAKES THE
20 ALLEGATION THAT ALBARO AND GREEN SHOULD SOMEHOW HAVE, YOU
21 KNOW, JUST TAKEN HER BACK TO THE BURBANK POLICE STATION --

22 THE COURT: OR LOOKED AT THE VIDEO.

23 MR. DALEY: -- SAT WITH HER, LOOKED AT THE VIDEO,
24 HAD A DISCUSSION ABOUT WHETHER THERE WAS PROBABLE CAUSE --
25 WHICH I THINK IS BESIDES THE POINT. THAT'S NOT A

1 CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT FOR A SUSPECT OF A CRIME TO HAVE THAT
2 RIGHT TO, YOU KNOW, GO THROUGH THAT PROCESS WITH ARRESTING
3 OFFICERS. THEY HAD --

4 THE COURT: WELL, I AGREE WITH YOU. THEY DON'T
5 HAVE TO DO A FULL INVESTIGATION. BUT IN ORDER FOR AN OFFICER
6 TO HAVE PROBABLE CAUSE TO BELIEVE SOMEONE HAS COMMITTED AN
7 OFFENSE HE HAS TO HAVE SOME EVIDENCE.

8 LET ME GIVE YOU A HYPOTHETICAL. LET'S JUST SAY
9 THAT THIS WASN'T A TSA OFFICER IN UNIFORM SAYING -- IT WAS
10 JUST SOMEBODY WHO SAID SHE JUST FOUGHT ME AND TOOK THE
11 COOLER. AND THE POLICE TAKE HER AND ARREST HER. JUST ONE
12 PERSON SAYING YOU COMMITTED A CRIME, IF YOU JUST ACCEPT THAT
13 AT FACE VALUE IS PROBABLY NOT ENOUGH. THERE HAS TO BE
14 SOMETHING MORE LIKE MAYBE A BRUISE ON THE ARM OR THE COOLER
15 -- YOU KNOW, THE CONTENTS SPILLED ON THE FLOOR OR EVIDENCE
16 OF A TUSSLE OR WHATEVER. BECAUSE OTHERWISE ALL THE PERSON
17 WOULD HAVE TO DO IS GRAB THE PERSON NEXT TO HIM IN THE
18 AIRPORT AND SAY, OFFICER, YOU JUST HIT ME. AND THEN THE
19 OFFICER ARRESTS THAT PERSON AND TAKES HER DOWNTOWN AND FINDS
20 OUT LATER, WELL, THAT NEVER HAPPENED.

21 HOW MUCH OF AN INVESTIGATION HAS TO BE DONE IS
22 CLEARLY A MATTER OF DISPUTE. AND I WOULD GRANT YOU IF THIS
23 WAS A SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION OR SOMETHING, MAYBE THERE WOULD
24 BE MORE -- MORE LEEWAY. BUT JUST BASED ON THE BARE
25 ALLEGATIONS, WHICH I'M ACCEPTING, AND THE INFERENCES TO BE

1 DRAWN FROM THEM, I HAVE TO SAY THAT I THINK THERE'S ENOUGH
2 RIGHT NOW.

3 BUT I WILL GO BACK AND LOOK AT YOUR CASES, AND I'LL
4 LOOK AT HER CONCESSIONS.

5 MR. DALEY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

6 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

7 THANKS FOR BEING PATIENT WITH ME TODAY, FOLKS.

8 APPRECIATE YOUR TIME.

9 MR. DALEY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

10 THE COURT: GO AHEAD, MS. HAYS.

11 MS. HAYS: ONE LAST THING.

12 AGAIN, BECAUSE THESE GUYS DO THIS ON A DAILY BASIS
13 AND I DON'T, IF IN THE PROCESS OF DISCOVERY THERE ARE THE
14 WRONGS PROVEN, THEN, I AM ASSUMING THAT ADDITIONAL CAUSES OF
15 ACTION WOULD STEM AFTER YOU HAVE PROVEN --

16 THE COURT: YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE A REAL HARD TIME
17 ADDING ANY MORE CAUSES OF ACTION. WE'RE NOW IN THE THIRD
18 AMENDED COMPLAINT. IT'S THE FOURTH TIME WE'RE KICKING SOME
19 OF THESE FOLKS OUT.

20 I'M GOING TO BE HARD-PRESSED, FOR EXAMPLE, TO BRING
21 THE JUDGES BACK IN. IN FACT, I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANYTHING
22 YOU COULD DO OR SAY TO DO THAT.

23 WHAT I'D LIKE YOU TO FOCUS ON IS THE PEOPLE WHO ARE
24 DIRECTLY INVOLVED IN WHAT HAPPENED TO YOU AND TRY AND DEVELOP
25 YOUR CASE. THAT'S WHAT I'M ASKING YOU TO DO.

1 MS. HAYS: OKAY. ONE PERSON THAT'S REALLY CRITICAL
2 TO THIS CASE AND WILL GET PULLED INTO IT IS EMILY DAUM.

3 THE COURT: OKAY. I DON'T KNOW WHO EMILY DAUM IS.

4 MS. HAYS: EMILY DAUM IS THAT INVESTIGATOR THAT
5 WROTE THE REPORT. AND I'VE LISTENED TO THE CD ABOUT A
6 HUNDRED TIMES. IT'S MY REGULAR PLAY IN MY CAR WHEN I TRAVEL.
7 AND THERE WAS A STATEMENT SAYING THAT WRITING A REPORT --

8 THE COURT: SHE WROTE -- SHE WROTE THE REPORT
9 AFTER.

10 MS. HAYS: -- WAS NOT A CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT.
11 BECAUSE I WAS ARGUING DUE PROCESS. AND I ACTUALLY DID PUT IT
12 IN MY PLEADINGS WITH THIS LAST BATCH, THAT I WASN'T
13 PROSECUTED IN FEDERAL COURT. I DON'T KNOW WHAT COURT -- WHAT
14 TYPE OF COURT DO YOU CALL IT, MR. BUCK, WHEN YOU GO --

15 THE COURT: DON'T -- DON'T ADDRESS MR. BUCK.

16 MR. HAYS: OH.

17 THE COURT: THAT'S AGAINST THE RULES. YOU JUST
18 ADDRESS ME.

19 MS. HAYS: I'M SORRY.

20 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

21 MS. HAYS: WHEN YOU GO BEFORE A CIVIL ENFORCEMENT
22 JUDGE -- THAT WAS WHAT MR. DERRICK FORD WAS BASICALLY ARGUING
23 AGAINST ME WITH PARLEN MC KENNA. IT WAS EMILY DAUM'S REPORT
24 THAT BASICALLY WAS THE SPURRING ACTION THAT TOOK ME INTO THAT
25 LAWSUIT.

1 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

2 MS. HAYS: IN FACT, EMILY DAUM WANTED TO CHARGE ME
3 \$7,500, AND MR. BUCK -- I MEAN, MR. FORD, BLESS HIS SWEET
4 HEART, SAID, THAT'S A LITTLE EXTREME. WE'LL START IT AT
5 2,500.

6 THE COURT: OKAY. PLEASE BE CAREFUL BEFORE YOU ADD
7 ANYBODY OR ANY MORE CLAIMS. IN FACT, RIGHT NOW YOU HAVE TO
8 MOVE THIS COURT FOR LEAVE TO AMEND IF YOU'RE GOING TO FILE A
9 FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT. AND I HAVE TO PASS ON IT. OKAY.

10 SO, IF YOU WANT TO SUE THIS EMILY DAUM, WHATEVER
11 HER NAME IS, YOU FILE YOUR MOTION TO AMEND THE THIRD AMENDED
12 COMPLAINT, AND WE'LL -- AND WE'LL DEAL WITH IT.

13 THE ONLY -- THE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS. MY LAW
14 CLERK TELLS ME THAT I SHOULD ASK MR. BUCK ABOUT THE
15 INDIVIDUAL TSA DEFENDANTS.

16 YOU MOVE TO DISMISS ON THOSE?

17 MR. BUCK: YOUR HONOR, WE --

18 THE COURT: ALL THE ONES THAT HAVEN'T BEEN NAMED?

19 MR. BUCK: THEY HAVE, BUT THEY HAVEN'T BEEN --

20 THE COURT: OH. YEAH, THERE ARE SOME THAT HAVE
21 BEEN -- IF THERE ARE SOME THAT HAVE BEEN SERVED AND NOT COME
22 FORWARD YET.

23 MR. BUCK: YOUR HONOR, I DON'T BELIEVE THAT ANY
24 HAVE BEEN PROPERLY SERVED OTHER THAN THE ONES THAT HAVE BEEN
25 SERVED BEFORE -- NAMELY TETTEH AND AIKENS.

1 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

2 MR. BUCK: AND AIKENS IS OUT BECAUSE SHE'S NOT IN
3 THE COMPLAINT.

4 THE COURT: OKAY.

5 MR. BUCK: TETTEH IS THE ONE DEFENDANT ON WHOSE
6 BEHALF WE FILED THE MOTION TO DISMISS BECAUSE THE OTHERS HAVE
7 NOT BEEN SERVED.

8 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO, ALL THE OTHER FEDERAL
9 DEFENDANTS IN YOUR VIEW HAVE NOT BEEN PROPERLY SERVED --

10 MR. BUCK: THAT'S --

11 THE COURT: -- THE INDIVIDUALS?

12 MR. BUCK: BASED ON THE INFORMATION THAT I
13 RECEIVED, THAT'S CORRECT.

14 THE COURT: OKAY.

15 MS. HAYS: CAN I MOVE RIGHT NOW TO AMEND MY
16 COMPLAINT?

17 THE COURT: NO, YOU CAN'T.

18 MS. HAYS: OH. YOU WANT A MOTION.

19 THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU FILE A MOTION UNDER RULE
20 4 TO DISMISS THEM BECAUSE THEY HAVEN'T BEEN SERVED WITHIN 120
21 DAYS. CAN YOU DO THAT FOR ME, PLEASE.

22 MR. BUCK: I CAN.

23 THE COURT: AND THAT'S -- THAT'S THE BEST WAY I
24 THINK TO BRING THE ISSUE TO THE FOREFRONT.

25 MR. BUCK: I THINK THERE WAS AN ATTEMPTED SERVICE

1 BY DROPPING OFF PAPERS BY MS. HAYS AT THE AIRPORT OR
2 SOMEWHERE BUT DIDN'T MEET THE REQUIREMENTS UNDER RULE 4.

3 THE COURT: HANG ON ONE SECOND. IS IT NOT WORKING
4 ANYMORE?

5 THE CLERK: YES, IT'S WORKING, BUT --

6 THE COURT: OH, MR. BUCK. OKAY.

7 MR. BUCK: I'M SORRY.

8 THE COURT: THAT'S ALL RIGHT.

9 MS. HAYS: I DID MAKE A REQUEST BECAUSE TRYING TO
10 LOCATE THESE INDIVIDUALS IS VERY, VERY DIFFICULT.

11 THE COURT: YES.

12 MS. HAYS: AND HOW CAN I PROPERLY SERVE THEM IF I
13 CAN'T LOCATE THEM. SO, I MADE THE REQUEST THAT I COULD
14 EITHER HAVE THE PAPERS SERVED THROUGH THEIR REPRESENTATIVES
15 OR THAT I GET THEIR ADDRESSES SO THEY CAN BE PROPERLY SERVED.

16 AND I DON'T THINK A DECISION HAS BEEN MADE ON THAT
17 REQUEST.

18 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND, AND I SAW THAT.

19 HERE'S THE DEAL. YOU'VE GOT TO --

20 MR. BUCK, YOU MAKE YOUR MOTION, IF YOU WOULD,
21 PLEASE. I'M INVITING A MOTION TO DISMISS THOSE DEFENDANTS
22 BECAUSE THEY HAVEN'T BEEN SERVED.

23 YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO TELL ME WHY EACH ONE OF
24 THOSE THERE'S JURISDICTION, MS. HAYS, BEFORE I MAKE THE
25 GOVERNMENT DO ANYTHING ABOUT PROVIDING YOU THEIR ADDRESSES OR

1 FINDING SOME ALTERNATIVE FORM OF SERVICE. OKAY?

2 MS. HAYS: YOU MEAN WHY THEY'RE INVOLVED?

3 THE COURT: YES. HOW THEY DIRECTLY PLAYED A ROLE.
4 BUT WAIT FOR HIS MOTION. IN YOUR OPPOSITION YOU SAY I WANT
5 YOU TO GIVE ME TIME OR GIVE ME THE ADDRESSES AND HERE'S WHY.
6 THIS PERSON GRABBED MY ARM. THIS PERSON PUT HANDCUFFS ON ME.
7 THIS PERSON DID THIS.

8 IF THE OTHER DEFENDANTS ARE -- THEY WERE
9 SUPERVISORS WHO RATIFIED THIS BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T DISCIPLINE
10 THEM AFTER THE EVENT, I'M NOT GOING TO SERVE THOSE FOLKS.
11 WE'RE WAY DOWN THE ROAD HERE. PEOPLE THAT ARE DIRECTLY
12 INVOLVED. OKAY. AND COULD HAVE OR SHOULD HAVE INTERVENED
13 BECAUSE THEY WERE STANDING THERE WATCHING YOU GET ASSAULTED.

14 YEP?

15 MR. RUIZ: YOUR HONOR, THIS MAY JUST BE AN OLD
16 CAPTION -- RODOLFO RUIZ FOR THE RECORD -- BUT THERE -- I
17 THINK THERE ARE NUMEROUS OTHER OFFICERS THAT ARE JUST TO MY
18 KNOWLEDGE MAY BE A PART OF OUR DEPARTMENT BUT ARE NOT
19 MENTIONED IN THE COMPLAINT.

20 THE COURT: OKAY.

21 MR. RUIZ: SO, I DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT'S WORTH.

22 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

23 ANYBODY WHO'S NOT BEEN IDENTIFIED IN THE THIRD
24 AMENDED COMPLAINT -- IF THEY'RE IN THE CAPTION BUT NOT
25 IDENTIFIED IN THE BODY, I'M ASSUMING IT WAS AN OVERSIGHT ON

1 HER PART TO INCLUDE THEIR NAMES IN THE CAPTION. SHE DOESN'T
2 REALLY WANT TO SUE THEM. AND UNLESS AND UNTIL I HEAR
3 ANYTHING DIFFERENT, I'M JUST DEALING WITH THE PEOPLE WHO SHE
4 CLAIMED DID SOMETHING WRONG IN THE COMPLAINT.

5 MR. RUIZ: YES, YOUR HONOR.

6 THE COURT: THANKS FOR POINTING THAT OUT, COUNSEL.

7 MR. RUIZ: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

8 THE COURT: OKAY. I GUESS EVERYBODY IS READY FOR
9 LUNCH.

10 HAVE A GREAT AFTERNOON. THANKS FOR YOUR TIME. SEE
11 YOU NEXT TIME.

12 MR. RUIZ: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

13 MR. DALEY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

14 MR. BUCK: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

15 THE CLERK: COURT IS ADJOURNED.

16 (PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDE 12:10 P.M.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT
TRANSCRIPT FROM THE ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING OF THE
PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

/S/ DOROTHY BABYKIN

1/15/16

FEDERALLY CERTIFIED TRANSCRIBER

DATED

DOROTHY BABYKIN